Ruptured aneurysm of abdominal aorta: is surgery the best treatment?

Aneurisma roto de aorta abdominal: a cirurgia é o melhor tratamento?

Artur Dantas Freire, Aline Vasconcelos de Carvalho, Clara Rafael Silva Xavier, Lucas de Faria Farros Medeiros, Amália Cinthia Menezes Rêgo, Irami Araújo-Filho, Aldo Cunha Medeiros.

Performed at School of Health, Laureate International Universities, Natal, Brazil, and Department of Surgery, Federal University of Rio Grande do Norte (UFRN), Natal, Brazil.

Financial support: none. Conflict of interest: None.

Correspondence address: Department of Surgery, Federal University of Rio Grande do Norte, at Ave. Nilo

Peçanha 620, Natal, RN, Brazil, E-mail: irami.filho@uol.com.br / irami.filho@gmail.com

Submitted: October 23, 2015. Accepted, after review: November 04, 2015.

ABSTRACT

Objective: The aim of this article is to compare the procedures used in the treatment of ruptured abdominal aortic aneurism, in order to determine the best approach to this urgency. **Methods:** The rupture of abdominal aortic aneurysm is a serious complication, with high mortality rate. Early surgery is related to great survival of these patients. However, there are two methods of treatment (open and endovascular surgery). The present study is a review of the literature in PubMed, UpToDate, Scopus and Scielo databases, using the key words "aneurysm, aortic aneurysm, abdominal aorta", "rupture", "aortic surgery", "endovascular procedure", "angioplasty", "endoluminal repair", "percutaneous transluminal angioplasty". We included English and Portuguese language articles, published between 2006 and 2015, addressing the treatment of the ruptured aneurysm of abdominal aorta. **Conclusion:** Significant differences in the mortality rates of open surgery compared to the endovascular treatment of ruptured aneurysm definitely have not been demonstrated. There is some evidence that perioperative (30 days) results after endovascular aneurysm correction of ruptured aneurism can be better than open repair.

Key words: Abdominal aortic aneurysm. Rupture, Surgery, Endovascular repair. Angioplasty. Percutaneous transluminal angioplasty.

RESUMO

Objetivo: O objetivo deste artigo é comparar os procedimentos utilizados no tratamento de aneurisma roto da aorta abdominal, a fim de determinar a melhor abordagem para esta urgência. **Métodos:** A ruptura do aneurisma da aorta

abdominal é uma complicação grave, com alta taxa de mortalidade. Cirurgia precoce está relacionada com altas taxas de sobrevivência. No entanto, existem dois métodos de tratamento (a cirurgia aberta e a endovascular). O presente estudo é uma revisão da literatura realizada nas bases de dados PubMed. UpToDate, Scopus e Scielo, usando as palavras-chave "aneurisma, aneurisma da "ruptura" Abdominal", "cirurgia da aorta", "procedimento Aorta "endovascular", " endovascular", "angioplastia", angioplastia transluminal percutânea ". Foram incluídos artigos em inglês e português, publicados entre 2006 e 2015, abordando o tratamento do aneurisma da aorta abdominal. Conclusão: Não foram demonstradas diferenças significativas nas taxas de mortalidade pós cirurgia aberta, em comparação com o tratamento endovascular de aneurisma roto. Há evidência de que resultados perioperatórios (30 dias) após correção de aneurisma endovascular pode ser melhor do que a cirurgia aberta.

Descritores: Aneurisma da aorta abdominal. Ruptura de aneurisma aórtico. Cirurgia. Procedimento endovascular. Angioplastia percutânea.

INTRODUCTION

The rupture is a fatal complication of abdominal aortic aneurysm (rAAA). Elective correction of aneurysm is associated with low rates of morbidity and mortality in selected patients, but, despite advances in intensive care unit and repair techniques, mortality after correction of ruptured abdominal aortic aneurysm remains high¹. The surgical results are better, by using the endovascular aneurysm endoprosthesis in emergency circumstances, than using open surgery. A growing number of institutions have initiated protocols for endovascular repair of rAAA with promising results in small series, but not all institutions are equipped to treat rAAA using minimally invasive technology. The transfer of patients with rAAA can be associated with a high mortality (17 to 19%) in comparison with those undergoing repair at the institution in which minimally invasive technology is available^{2,3-5}.

METHODOLOGY

The present study is a review of the literature in PubMed database, UpToDate, Scopus and Scielo, using the key words "Aneurysm, Aortic Aneurysm, Abdominal Aorta", "Rupture", "Aortic Surgery", "Endovascular Procedure", "Angioplasty", "Endoluminal Repair", "Percutaneous Transluminal

Angioplasty". We included English and Portuguese language articles, published between 2006 and 2015, addressing the treatment of the ruptured aneurysm of abdominal aorta.

ANATOMY

The aorta is the largest artery in the human body, and this when surpasses the diaphragm muscle receives the name of abdominal aorta, where it emits several branches, forking more distally in the common iliac arteries⁴.

DEFINITION

Aneurysm means irreversible dilatation limited to a vessel or heart wall⁵. Thus, the aneurysm is a localized dilatation of a blood vessel by more than 50% of its normal assumed diameter 6,7 .

TREATMENT

The treatment of rAAA is a medical urgency, because of bleeding and its high mortality ^{8,9}. Due to developments in surgical technique and critical care, the mortality rate of elective abdominal aortic aneurysm repair has been greatly improved. However, Cooley and DeBakey's early presentation of surgical treatments for ruptured abdominal aortic aneurysms (rAAA) noted a 50% survival rate¹⁰, which has proven difficult to improve over six decades of subsequent effort^{1,11,12}.Endovascular aneurysm repair (EVAR) has the advantage of having relatively low perioperative mortality and morbidity compared to open surgical repair (OSR)¹³⁻¹⁵, and consequently, patients increasingly undergo EVAR for rAAA¹⁶. Nevertheless, OSR still plays an important role in the treatment of rAAA due to the anatomical and institutional limitations of EVAR. In this article, we review strategies for the management of rAAA in order to reduce perioperative mortality and morbidity and discuss features, pros and cons of OSR and EVAR.

ENDOVASCULAR OR CONVENTIONAL SURGERY?

In observational studies, the endovascular repair of rAAA has been associated with lower rates of mortality in comparison with the open repair of ruptured rAAA (EVAR: of the 16.31%; open the 44.34%), which may be due to

decreased blood loss and reduction of ischemia¹⁷⁻²¹. The main criticism of these studies is that hemodynamically stable patients with rAAA are most often selected for EVAR, and hemodynamically unstable patients tend to be treated with open repair²². Some authors have suggested that such patient selection influence the comparison, and that the mortality rate for EVAR and open repair for ruptured rAAA are really similar.

A few randomized clinical trials comparing open repair versus EVAR in patients with ruptured rAAA were published^{23,24}. The first was a small study, pilot, that reported a high rate of mortality of 53% for both groups, which led to widespread criticism of the study design²³. An other research, a randomized clinical trial of 132 patients who were suited anatomically, concluded that no difference was found in the perioperative mortality (30 days) among those who were treated with open repair versus EVAR (25 versus 21%)²⁴. It has been suggested that long-necked aneurysms can provide a survival advantage, even in patients treated with open repair²⁵.

Concerning to immediate treatment of the patients with rupture, a multicenter study (IMPROVE) held in the United Kingdom and Canada, sought to determine the optimal management of rAAA using a drawing of "reality"²⁵. The study randomly distributed 613 patients for which there was a suspected rAAA based on history or clinical examination, and image diagnosis. No difference in perioperative (30 day) of mortality was observed among the assigned groups. In a pre-specified subgroup analysis, the perioperative mortality was significantly lower for women assigned to the EVAR compared to women assigned to open repair (37% versus 57%), but this difference was not observed for men. Patients referred for EVAR were significantly more likely to go home soon, compared to those designated for open repair (94% against 77%). After treatment, the mortality for patients who underwent EVAR was of 25% compared to 38% for those who underwent open correction²⁵. IMPROVE study showed that, for patients with suspected, but no evidence of rAAA, makes the open surgery and endovascular strategy equally valid²⁵.

Although the mortality rates associated with the correction of the rAAA with open surgery versus endovascular correction can be challenging, perioperative

morbidity rates have been consistently and significantly lower for EVAR in comparison with the open repair in randomized trials of elective AAA^{8,26,27}.

. Extrapolating from these findings, it appears that EVAR would be highly desirable in patients with rAAA who have poor prognosis factors for the open repair. The apparent advantage of EVAR probably relates to its minimally invasive nature, which minimizes the physiological stress and decreases the risk of cardiovascular disease, pulmonary and renal disease²⁸.

Although attempts have been made to quantify the risk of mortality with rAAA, no variable or sorting proved reliable to predict mortality²⁹.

The complications of surgery to repair rAAA are similar to those of the elective procedure, but there is a higher incidence of complications such as myocardial infarction, respiratory failure and acute kidney injury compared to the elective AAA repair³⁰.

Extended operating time, increased blood loss, increased fluid administration, and intraoperative hypotension are predictive of postoperative intestinal ischemia, which has a mortality rate of almost 60% in patients undergoing open repair of rAAA³¹. In a small review of patients it was described some degree of colonic ischemia after repair of rAAA³².

Conversion of EVAR for open repair is unusual for elective AAA repair and is, as yet, undefined for rAAA. Conversion of EVAR for open repair is generally associated with higher rates of mortality in comparison with the open repair. There are no comparable studies for rAAA. In a study of elective, early conversion of EVAR to open surgery was associated with a mortality rate of 12.4% ³³, which contrasts with the mortality of approximately 3% to the initial repair AAA ¹.

MORTALITY

Despite improvements in pre-hospital care, anesthesia, and cardiovascular intensive care, postoperative mortality after correction of rAAA remains about 40 to 50% ³⁴.

Factors that worsen survival during the open surgical repair of the supraceliac rAAA include procedure delayed for more than 30 minutes, administration of more than 3,500 ml of blood volume, the intraoperative urine

output less than 200mL, thrombosis of other vascular beds, and intraoperative hypotension³⁵. The EVAR has the potential to minimize these variables and complications, can improve survival after rupture of AAA, but this has not been definitely established. In a review, it was stated that the open surgery was a higher risk for perioperative independent of death (30 days), compared to the endovascular treatment for hemodynamically unstable patients and patients hemodynamically stable [Mohan PP, Hamblin MH. Comparison of endovascular repair of ruptured and open aortic abdominal aneurysm in the United States in the past decade. Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol. 2014 Apr;37(2):337-42.]⁴⁰.

In the long term (5 years), survival after emergency repair of rAAA is 53 to 64%, in contrast to survival rates after elective repair, 69-74%³⁹. Factors associated with lower long-term survival include advanced age, renal dysfunction, respiratory failure and myocardial infarction^{35,36}.

INITIAL MANAGEMENT

Finally, let's take a look on the pre-treatment management. Preoperative hemodynamic stability is directly associated with the patient's mortality^{37,38}, and preoperative shock is the most serious risk factor affecting survival after rAAA. It is important to stabilize the patient's blood pressure. However, aggressive fluid resuscitation before achieving control of the proximal aorta can lead to further bleeding, which may result in an increased risk of mortality³⁹. Thus, the primary goal in the initial management of patients with rAAA is achieving hemodynamic stability to allow perfusion of the vital organs. This usually involves maintaining a systolic blood pressure of 70 to 80 mmHg, and avoiding aggressive resuscitation to pressures higher than 100 mmHg. Aggressive volume resuscitation of patients with rAAA before proximal aortic control resulted in an increased perioperative risk of death independent of systolic blood pressure. Therefore, volume resuscitation should be delayed until surgical control of bleeding is achieved⁴⁰.

The treatment of a patient with rAAA requires a cooperative relationship between multidisciplinary specialties to ensure a timely diagnosis, appropriate preoperative and perioperative support, efficient aneurysm repair, and excellent postoperative care. Thus, specific protocols for patients with rAAA are useful, such as the fast-track algorithm for the care of patients with rAAA^{41,42}.

CONCLUSION

Significant differences in the mortality rates of open surgery compared to the endovascular treatment of ruptured aneurysm definitely have not been demonstrated. There is some evidence to suggest that perioperative (30 days) results after of endovascular aneurysm (EVAR) correction of ruptured rAAA can be better than to open repair. So, for patients with multiple risk factors and a poor prognosis after open surgical repair and anatomy suitable for endovascular approach, we suggest an attempt to EVAR, provided that there is proper equipment and available experienced personnel.

REFERENCES

- 1. Dillavou ED, Muluk SC, Makaroun MS. A decade of change in abdominal aortic aneurysm repair in the United States: Have we improved outcomes equally between men and women? J Vasc Surg. 2006;43(2):230-8.
- 2. Mell MW, Wang NE, Morrison, Hernandez-Boussard T. Interfacility transfer and mortality for patients with abdominal aortic aneurysm ruptured. J Vasc Surg. 2014;60(3):553-7.
- 3. Brattheim BJ, 27 June, Altreuther M, Landmark, The Regional AD Faxvaag. Disparities in incidence, handling and outcomes of patients with ruptured abdominal aortic aneurysms and symptomatic in Norway. EUR J Vasc Endovasc Surg. 2012;44(3):267-72.
- 4. Johnston KW, Rutherford RB, Tilson MD, Shah DM, Hollier L, Stanley JC. Suggested standards for reporting on arterial aneurysms. Subcommittee on Reporting Standards for Arterial Aneurysms, Ad Hoc Committee on Reporting Standards, Society for Vascular Surgery and North American Chapter, International Society for Cardiovascular Surgery. J Vasc Surg. 1991;13(3):452-8.
- 5. Hang BB, Shah DM, Paty PS, Kaufman JL, Leather RP. Can the retroperitoneum approach be used for ruptured aortic abdominal aneurysms? J Vasc Surg. 1990;11(2):326-30
- 6. Starnes BW, Quiroga And Hutter C, Tran T, Hatsukami, Meissner M, Tang G, Kohler t. Ruptured abdominal aortic aneurysm Management of in the endovascular era. J Vasc Surg. 2010;51(1):9-17.
- 7. Antoniou GA, Georgiadis GS, Antoniou SA, Pavlidis, P Maras D, Sfyroeras GS, Georgakarakos HEY, Lazarides MK. Abdominal aortic endovascular repair for ruptured aneurysm confers an early survival benefit over open repair. J Vasc Surg. 2013;58(4):1091-105.
- 8. Mehta M, J Taggart, Darling RC 3rd, Chang BB, PB Kreienberg, Paty PS, Roddy SP, Sternbach Y, Ozsvath KJ, Shah DM. Establishing the protocol for endovascular treatment of ruptured abdominal aortic aneurysms: outcomes of a prospective analysis. J Vasc Surg. 2006;44(1):1-8.

- 9. Etacci F, Sirignano P, Donato G, And G, Galzerano Chisci Cappelli, Palasciano G, Setacci C. Endovascular approach is ruptured aortic abdominal aneursyms. J Cardiovasc Surg (Torino). 2010;51 (3): 313-7
- 10. Cooley DA, Debakey ME. Ruptured aneurysms of abdominal aorta; excision and homograft replacement. Postgrad Med. 1954;16:334–42.
- 11. Bown MJ, Sutton AJ, Bell PR, Sayers RD. A meta-analysis of 50 years of ruptured abdominal aortic aneurysm repair. Br J Surg. 2002;89:714–30.
- 12. Hoornweg LL, Storm-Versloot MN, Ubbink DT, Koelemay MJ, Legemate DA, Balm R. Meta-analysis on mortality of ruptured abdominal aortic aneurysms. Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg. 2008;35:558–70.
- 13. Greenhalgh RM, Brown LC, Kwong GP, Powell JT, Thompson SG. EVAR trial participants. Comparison of endovascular aneurysm repair with open repair in patients with abdominal aortic aneurysm (EVAR trial 1), 30-day operative mortality results: randomised controlled trial. Lancet. 2004;364:843–8.
- 14. Prinssen M, Verhoeven EL, Buth J, et al. A randomized trial comparing conventional and endovascular repair of abdominal aortic aneurysms. N Engl J Med. 2004;351:1607–18.
- 15. Lederle FA, Freischlag JA, Kyriakides TC, et al. Outcomes following endovascular vs open repair of abdominal aortic aneurysm: a randomized trial. JAMA. 2009;302:1535–42.
- 16. Lesperance K, Andersen C, Singh N, Starnes B, Martin MJ. Expanding use of emergency endovascular repair for ruptured abdominal aortic aneurysms: disparities in outcomes from a nationwide perspective. J Vasc Surg. 2008;47:1165–70.
- 17. Ten Bosch JA, Teijink JA, Willigendael, Prins MH. Endovascular aneurysm repair is superior to open surgery for ruptured aortic abdominal aneurysms in EVAR-suitable patients. J Vasc Surg. 2010;52(1):13-8.
- 18. Dubois L, Mayer D, Rancic Z, Veith FJ, M Lachat. Debate: whether endovascular repair offers a survival advantage over open repair for ruptured aortic abdominal aneurysms. J Vasc Surg. 2015;61(2):546-55.
- 19. Takagi H, Kawai N, Umemoto t. Endovascular repair of ruptured Regarding abdominal aortic aneurysms. J Vasc Surg. 2008;48(1):253-4.
- 20. Hinchliffe RJ, Bruijstens L, Mcsweeney ST, Braithwaite BD. The randomised trial of endovascular and open surgery for ruptured aortic abdominal aneurysm-results of a pilot study and lessons learned for future studies. EUR J Vasc Endovasc Surg. 2006;32(5):506-13.
- 21. Reimerink JJ, LL Hoornweg, Vahl AC, Wisselink W, van den Broek TA, DA, Legemate Reekers JA, Balm R; Amsterdam Acute Aneurysm Trial Collaborators Endovascular repair versus open repair of ruptured abdominal aortic aneurysms: a multicenter randomized controlled trial. Ann Surg. 2013;258(2):248-56.
- 22.von Meijenfeldt GC Ultee KH, D Eefting, Hoeks SE, ten Raa's, Rouwet EV, Hendriks JM, Verhagen HJ, Bastos George FM. Differences in mortality, risk factors, and complications after endovascular repair of open and ruptured aortic abdominal aneurysms. EUR J Vasc Endovasc Surg. 2014;47(5):479-86.
- 23. Becquemin JP, Pillet JC, Lescalie F, Sapoval M, Goueffic Y, Lermusiaux P, Steinmetz And Marzelle J, ACE trialists. A randomized controlled trial of endovascular aneurysm repair versus open surgery for aortic abdominal aneurysms in low-to moderate-risk patients. J Vasc Surg. 2011;53 (5):1167-73.

- 24. Collin J, Murie JA. Endovascular treatment of aortic abdominal aneurysm: a failed experiment. BR J Surg. 2001;88(10):1281-2.
- 25. Chagpar RB, Harris JR, Lawlor DK, DeRose G, Forbes TL. Early mortality following endovascular versus open repair of abdominal aortic aneurysms ruptured. Vasc Endovascular Surg. 2010;44(8):645-9.
- 26. Tambyraja AL, Murie JA, Chalmers RT. Prediction of outcome after abdominal aortic aneurysm rupture. J Vasc Surg. 2008;47(1):222-30.
- 27. Cho JS, Kim JY, Rhee RY, Gupta N, Marone LK, ED Dillavou Makaroun, Ms. Contemporary results of open repair of ruptured abdominal aneurysms aortoiliac: effect of surgeon volume on mortality. J Vasc Surg. 2008;48(1):10-7.
- 28. Tøttrup M, Fedder AM, RH, Tøttrup Jensen, Laustsen, J. The value of routine flexible sigmoidoscopy within 48 hours after surgical repair of abdominal aortic aneurysms ruptured. Ann Vasc Surg. 2013;27(6): 714-8.
- 29. Moulakakis KG, Dalainas I, Limitedwas S, Giannakopoulos TG, Avgerinos ED, Liapis CD. Conversion to open repair of abdominal aortic aneurysm after endografting for: a review of causes, incidence, results, and surgical techniques of reconstruction. J Endovasc Ther. 2010;17(6):694-702.
- 30. Johnston KW. Ruptured aortic abdominal aneurysm: six-year follow-up results of a multicenter prospective study. Canadian Society for Vascular Surgery Aneurysm Study Group. J Vasc Surg. 1994;19(5):888-900.
- 31. Gupta PK, Ramanan B, Engelbert TL, Tefera G, Hoch JR., Kent KC. A comparison of open surgery versus endovascular repair of ruptured abdominal aortic aneurysms unstable. J Vasc Surg. 2014;60(6):1439-45.
- 32.IMPROVE Trial, Powell JT, Thompson SG, Thompson MM, Grieve R, Nicholson AA, Ashleigh R, Hassan T, Moore F, Walker, Braithwaite BD, Hinchliffe RJ,Greenhalgh RM, Cheshire NJ, Howell SJ, Soong CV. The Immediate Management of the Patient with Rupture: Endovascular Versus Open repair (IMPROVE) aneurysm trial-ISRCTN 48,334,791 IMPROVE trialists. ACTA Chir Belg. 2009;109(6):678-80.
- 33. Ricotta JJ, Malgor RD, Oderich GS. Ruptured abdominal aortic aneurysm endovascular repair: part II. Ann Vasc Surg. 2010;24(2):269-77.
- 34. There MM, J Flahive, Schanzer A, Simons JP, Aiello FA, Doucet DR, Messina LM, Robinson WP. In patients stratified by risk preoperative endovascular repair of ruptured abdominal, aortic aneurysms has the lower in-hospital mortality and morbidity than open repair. J Vasc Surg. 2015;61(6):1399-407.
- 35. Coppi G, Gennai's, Saitta GR, Silingardi Tasselli S. Treatment of ruptured aortic abdominal aneurysm after abdominal aortic endovascular repair: A comparison with patients without prior treatment. J Vasc Surg. 2009 Mar; 49(3):582-8.
- 36. Mohan PP, Hamblin MH. Comparison of endovascular repair of ruptured and open aortic abdominal aneurysm in the United States in the past decade. Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol. 2014;37(2):337-42.
- 37. Samy AK, Murray G, MacBain G. Glasgow aneurysm score. Cardiovasc Surg. 1994;2:41–4.
- 38. Visser JJ, Williams M, Kievit J, Bosch JL. A Study Group. Prediction of 30-day mortality after endovascular repair or open surgery in patients with ruptured abdominal aortic aneurysms. J Vasc Surg. 2009;49:1093–9.
- 39. Hardman DT, Fisher CM, Patel MI, et al. Ruptured abdominal aortic aneurysms: who should be offered surgery? J Vasc Surg. 1996;23:123–9.

- 40. Dick F, Erdoes G, Opfermann P, Eberle B, Schmidli J, von Allmen RS. Delayed volume resuscitation during initial management of ruptured abdominal aortic aneurysm. J Vasc Surg. 2013;57:943–50.
- 41. Eslami MH, Messina LM. Ruptured AAA: open surgical management. Semin Vasc Surg.2010;23:200–5.
- 42. Mehta M. Endovascular aneurysm repair for ruptured abdominal aortic aneurysm: the Albany Vascular Group approach. J Vasc Surg. 2010;52:1706–12.